

April 13, 2012

Amy M. Loprest
Executive Director
New York City Campaign Finance Board
40 Rector St., 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10006

MICHAEL P. FISHMAN

President

KEVIN J. DOYLE Executive Vice President

HÉCTOR J. FIGUEROASecretary-Treasurer

KYLE BRAGGVice President

LENORE FRIEDLAENDER

Vice President

BRIAN LAMBERT Vice President

KRYSTYNA ROSARIO

Secretary

Online at:

www.seiu32BJ.org

Local 32BJ Headquarters: 101 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10013

212.388.3800

Bronx/Westchester Office: 140 Huguenot Street New Rochelle, NY 10801 914.637.7000

Connecticut Office:

196 Trumbull Street, Suite 400 Hartford, CT 06103 860.560.8674 1.800.228.5253

Long Island Office:

2545 Hempstead Turnpike East Meadow, NY 11554 516.579.4020

New Jersey Office:

560 Broad Street Newark, NJ 07102 973.824.32BJ 1.866.5JANITOR

Window Cleaners Division:

101 Avenue of the Americas 20th Floor New York, NY 10013 212.539.2901

Theater, Amusement Cultural Division:

101 Avenue of the Americas 20th Floor New York NY 10013 Re: Request for Advisory Opinion

Dear Ms. Loprest:

Pursuant to CFB Rule 7-04, SEIU Local 32BJ requests an advisory opinion of the Campaign Finance Board. Our general question is: Does an "electioneering communication", as defined in Rule 13-01, constitute an inkind contribution to a campaign if it was a "non-independent expenditure" within the meaning of Rule 13-05? Please answer this question, and please also answer the questions below that pertain to the following scenario that, based on Local 32BJ's experience, could well occur during 2013 or a subsequent election year.

Two weeks before a general election, the Union holds a rally to support a strike at which two candidates for different New York City offices (among other speakers) will speak at the Union's invitation. One candidate is an incumbent New York City officeholder who is running for reelection. The other candidate is running for a different office and is not an incumbent New York City officeholder. In order to build participation at the rally, the Union undertakes a "mass mailing" within the meaning of Rule 13-01 of flyers to the general public that identify the two candidates and present other information about the rally. The flyer is an "electioneering communication" and not an "express advocacy communication," as defined in Rule 13-01. In the Union's interactions with each candidate about the flyer, the candidate "fostered or cooperated in" the content and distribution of the flyer within the meaning of Rule 13-05. The costs of the design, production and distribution of the flyer, as allocated to each candidate under Rule 13-02(c)(3), exceed \$1,000.

If these events occur:

1. Is the allocable cost of the flyer to each candidate an in-kind contribution to the candidate's campaign?



- 2. Is there any disclosure or other obligation by the Union under Chapter 13 or otherwise under the Board's rules arising from the flyer?
- 3. Would the answer to either Question 1 or Question 2 be different If the above example were changed only in that there is no rally and so no advertised appearance by either candidate at a rally, the flyer is mailed in order to build public support and pressure for the Union's bargaining position, the flyer notes the two candidates' support for the strike, and the flyer asks the public to contact the Mayor (who is not a candidate) and express their support for the Union's bargaining position?

Thank you in advance for your response.

Sincerely yours,

Alison Hirsh

Political Director